

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,209	12/18/2001	Edward B. Rhyne	W0543/7036 KDW	2655
23628	7590 09/16/2003			
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			ACKUN, JACOB K	
BOSTON, M	A 02210-2211		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			. 3712	· · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/023,209	RHYNE ET AL.	CV
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob K. Ackun Jr.	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			dress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum o iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this con the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
·_ ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		matters prosecution as to the	a marite ie
closed in accordance with the practice und			; IIICIIIS 15
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applicat			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	drawn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement		
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	inor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	in Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a l 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(se of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)
0.0			

Application/Control Number: 10/023,209 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lankhuijzen

(5,582,345) or Pearce et al (5,085,316), both cited by the applicant. Claims 1-36 are drawn to

packaging for holding a pan and cover. Accordingly, no pan and cover are claimed, and therefore

all references in claims 1-36 to any pan and cover are not considered to be further structurally

limiting with respect to the claimed packaging. All such references to a pan and cover are

presumed to recite only functions or intended uses of the claimed packaging.

Either reference appears to show packaging having all of the features of the claimed

packaging. For example, with respect to claim 1, either reference discloses an elongated sheet

having a first part secured to a second part, panels and at least one hole in a panel and another

hole in a portion of the sheet. Since the packaging disclosed in either reference has all of the

structural elements of the claims, the packaging is presumed to be inherently capable of all of the

claimed functions, including use to hold some pan and some cover of the right size and shape for

the packaging.

3. Claims 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rey et al

(4,407,414). Rey is applied as were the references above. Coincidentally, Rey does teach a pan

between the secured ends of the sheet with its handle received in a hole in a portion of the sheet.

However, as was noted above, a reference need not show a pan (or a cover) to be applied against

claims 1-36 since none of these claims requires a pan or a cover.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,209

Art Unit: 3712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5.

Rey et al. The reference shows most of the elements of the claims but lacks the second hole as

recited in claims 1 and 36. On the other hand it would have been obvious to provide the wrapper

of Rey et al with at least another hole for the purpose of accommodating therein a pan with two

handles. Method claim 37 is an obvious method of using the wrapper of Rey.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jacob K. Ackun Jr. whose telephone number is (703)308-3867.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8.30AM-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703)308-1745. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

Jacob K. Ackun Jr. **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3712

Page 3